

The Planning Inspectorate

COMMENTS ON CASE (Online Version)

Please note that comments about this case need to be made within the timetable. This can be found in the notification letter sent by the local planning authority or the start date letter. Comments submitted after the deadline may be considered invalid and returned to sender.

Appeal Reference: APP/D2320/W/22/3295556

DETAILS OF THE CASE

Appeal Reference APP/D2320/W/22/3295556

Appeal By MINISTRY OF JUSTICE

Site Address
Land adjacent to HMP Garth and HMP Wymott
Leyland
PR26 8NH
Grid Ref Easting: 350521
Grid Ref Northing: 420854

SENDER DETAILS

Name MRS JEAN M NOONE

Address
33, Northlands
Leyland
Lancashire
PR26 7XR

ABOUT YOUR COMMENTS

In what capacity do you wish to make representations on this case?

- Appellant
- Agent
- Interested Party / Person
- Land Owner
- Rule 6 (6)

What kind of representation are you making?

- Final Comments
- Proof of Evidence
- Statement
- Statement of Common Ground
- Interested Party/Person Correspondence
- Other

YOUR COMMENTS ON THE CASE

I have no objections to a Third Prison. As for Traffic Problems. I cannot see that it would make any difference. A lot of Industrial cars and lorries already use Dunkirk lane going to use Paradise Lane and also Longmeanygate. If there is a problem on the M6 or M55, all detours are from M6 Juntion29, through Leyland by way of Longmeanygate and Dunkirk Lane.

The Construction Companies who are going to build the Prison could use Nixon Lane for entry and passed Wymott and Garth Prisons for exit. Another reason for my acceptance of a Third Prison is that the people who are going to work there will be decent people, both civilian and Officers. They will bring Finance and Families into the Community. It can only be for the good of South Ribble. Can I also say that the Third Prison was agreed when the Original Plans were made in the 1970s. Thankyou for reading my comments. Mrs Jean M Noone.